

RESEARCH

Open Access



Computation of Green's functions through algebraic decomposition of operators

F Adrián F Tojo*

*Correspondence:
fernandoadrian.fernandez@usc.es
Departamento de Análise
Matemática, Faculdade de
Matemáticas, Universidade de
Santiago de Compostela, Santiago
de Compostela, Spain

Abstract

In this article, we use linear algebra to improve the computational time for obtaining Green's functions of linear differential equations with reflection (DER). This is achieved by decomposing both the 'reduced' equation (the ODE associated with a given DER) and the corresponding two-point boundary conditions.

MSC: 34Bxx; 47Lxx; 34Kxx

Keywords: Green's functions; ODE; reflection; decomposition

1 Introduction

Differential operators with reflection have recently been of great interest, partly due to their applications to supersymmetric quantum mechanics [1–3] or topological methods applied to nonlinear analysis [4].

In the last years, the works in this field have been related to either obtaining eigenvalues and explicit solutions of different problems [5–8], their qualitative properties [4, 9], or obtaining the associated Green's function [10–16]. In [16], the authors described a method to derive the Green's function of a differential equation with constant coefficients, reflection and two-point boundary conditions. This algorithm was implemented in *Mathematica* (see [17]) in order to put it to a practical use. Unfortunately, it was soon observed that, although theoretically correct, there were severe limitations when computing the Green's functions of problems of high order. In this respect, we have to point out that an n th-order linear DER is reduced to a $(2n)$ th-order ordinary differential equation; see Theorem 2.5 and compare equations (2.4) and (2.5). This particularity poses a great challenge since the computational time increases greatly with n .

To sort this out, the best option is to go back from a $(2n)$ th-order problem to *two* problems of order n . This procedure, compared to solving directly the order $2n$, is much faster. Furthermore, it also happens that, in some cases, the decomposition provides two equivalent problems or a problem and its adjoint. In those cases, the improvement is even more notorious.

In the next section, we contextualize the problem with a brief introduction to differential equations with reflection and state some basic results concerning the Green's function associated with them. In Section 3, we develop some theoretical results, which provide a way of decomposing the DER we are dealing with. Finally, in Section 4, we establish a

suitable decomposition for the boundary conditions, state criteria for self-adjointness of the decomposed problem, and provide examples to illustrate the theory.

2 Differential equations with reflection

In order to establish a useful framework to work with these equations, we consider the differential operator D , the pullback operator of the reflection $\varphi(t) = -t$, denoted by $\varphi^*(u)(t) = u(-t)$, and the identity operator Id .

Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $I := [-T, T]$. We now consider the algebra $\mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$ consisting of the linear operators of the form

$$L = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k \varphi^* + b_k) D^k, \quad (2.1)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, which act as

$$Lu(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k u^{(k)}(-t) + \sum_{k=0}^n b_k u^{(k)}(t), \quad t \in I,$$

on any function $u \in W^{n,1}(I)$. The operation in the algebra is the usual composition of operators; we will omit the composition sign. We observe that $D^k \varphi^* = (-1)^k \varphi^* D^k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, which makes it a *noncommutative algebra*. We will consider, for convenience, the sums $\sum_{k=0}^n \equiv \sum_k$ such that $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, but taking into account that the coefficients a_k, b_k are zero for big enough indices.

Notice that $\mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$ is not a unique factorization domain. For instance,

$$D^2 - 1 = (D + 1)(D - 1) = -(\varphi^* D + \varphi^*)^2.$$

Let $\mathbb{R}[D]$ be the ring of polynomials with real coefficients on the variable D . The following property is crucial for the obtaining of a Green's function.

Theorem 2.1 ([16], Theorem 2.1) *Take L be as defined in (2.1) and define*

$$R := \sum_k a_k \varphi^* D^k + \sum_l (-1)^{l+1} b_l D^l \in \mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $RL = LR \in \mathbb{R}[D]$.

Remark 2.2 If $S := RL = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k D^k$, then

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0, & k \text{ odd,} \\ 2 \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} (-1)^l (a_l a_{k-l} - b_l b_{k-l}) + (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} (a_{\frac{k}{2}}^2 - b_{\frac{k}{2}}^2), & k \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that the *reduced* operator RL has only coefficients for the even powers of the derivative, so the equation is self-adjoint. If the boundary conditions are appropriate (we will clarify this statement in Theorem 4.4), then the Green's function is symmetric [18]. Observe that $c_0 = a_0^2 - b_0^2$. Also, if $L = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i \varphi^* + b_i) D^i$ with $a_n \neq 0$ or $b_n \neq 0$, then we have that $c_{2n} = (-1)^n (a_n^2 - b_n^2)$. Hence, if $a_n = \pm b_n$, then $c_{2n} = 0$. This shows that composing

two elements of $\mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$, we can get another element that has simpler terms in the sense of derivatives of lower order. This is quite difficult when computing the Green's functions since, in this case, we could have one, many, or no solutions of our original problem [16]. The following example is quite illustrative.

Example 2.3 Consider the equation

$$x^3(t) + x^3(-t) = \sin t, \quad t \in I.$$

This equation cannot have a solution since the left-hand side is an even function whereas the right-hand side is an odd function.

As we said before, $S = RL$ is a usual differential operator with constant coefficients. Consider now the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} Su(t) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k u^{(k)}(t) = h(t), \quad t \in I, \\ B_k u &:= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_{kj} u^{(j)}(-T) + \beta_{kj} u^{(j)}(T)] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The existence of Green's functions for problems such as (2.3) is a classical result (see, e.g., [19]). Here we present it adapted to our framework.

Theorem 2.4 Assume that the following homogeneous problem has a unique solution:

$$Su(t) = 0, \quad t \in I, \quad B_k u = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then there exists a unique function, called Green's function, such that:

- (G1) G is defined on the square I^2 .
- (G2) The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^k G}{\partial t^k}$ exist and are continuous on I^2 for $k = 0, \dots, n-2$.
- (G3) $\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}$ and $\frac{\partial^n G}{\partial t^n}$ exist and are continuous on $I^2 \setminus \{(t, t) : t \in I\}$.
- (G4) The lateral limits $\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^+)$ and $\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^-)$ exist for every $t \in (a, b)$, and

$$\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^-) - \frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^+) = \frac{1}{a_n}.$$

- (G5) For each $s \in (a, b)$, the function $G(\cdot, s)$ is a solution of the differential equation $Su = 0$ on $I \setminus \{s\}$.
- (G6) For each $s \in (a, b)$, the function $G(\cdot, s)$ satisfies the boundary conditions $B_k u = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Furthermore, the function $u(t) := \int_a^b G(t, s) h(s) ds$ is the unique solution of problem (2.3).

Now we can state the result that relates the Green's function of a problem with reflection to the Green's function of its associated reduced problem.

In order to do that, given an operator \mathcal{L} defined on some set of functions of one variable, we will define the operator \mathcal{L}_+ as $\mathcal{L}_+ G(t, s) := \mathcal{L}(G(\cdot, s))|_t$ for every s and any suitable function G of two variables.

Theorem 2.5 ([16]) *Let $I = [-T, T]$. Consider the problem*

$$Lu(t) = h(t), \quad t \in I, \quad B_k u = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.4)$$

where L is defined as in (2.1), $h \in L^1(I)$, and

$$B_k u := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_{kj} u^{(j)}(-T) + \beta_{kj} u^{(j)}(T)], \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, there exists $R \in \mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$ (as in (2.2)) such that $S := RL \in \mathbb{R}[D]$, and the unique solution of problem (2.4) is given by $\int_a^b R_{\vdash} G(t, s) h(s) ds$, where G is the Green's function associated with the problem

$$Su = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$B_k u = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.6)$$

$$B_k Ru = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.7)$$

assuming that it has a unique solution.

As stated in Section 1, Theorem 2.5 was implemented in Mathematica in [17]. We now proceed to describe some steps that could be added to the algorithm in order to improve it.

3 Decomposing the reduced equation

The computation of Green's functions is prohibitive in computation time terms [17], mostly for high-order equations, so it is necessary to find ways to palliate this problem. Our approach consists of decomposing our problem in order to deal with equations of lower order.

First, observe that from Remark 2.2 we know that the reduced equation has no derivatives of odd indices. For convenience, if p is a real (complex) polynomial, then we denote by p_{\vdash} the polynomial with the same principal coefficient and opposite roots.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{2k} x^{2k}$ be a real polynomial of order $2n$. Then there is a complex polynomial q of order n such that $p = \alpha_{2n} q q_{\vdash}$. Furthermore, if $\tilde{p}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{2k} x^k$ has no negative roots, then q is a real polynomial.*

Proof First, observe that p is a polynomial on x^2 , and therefore, if λ is a root of p , so is $-\lambda$. Hence, using the fundamental theorem of algebra, the first part of the result can be derived by separating the monomials that compose p in two different polynomials with opposite roots.

Let us explicitly show that in the case \tilde{p} has no negative roots, q is a real polynomial.

Take the change of variables $y = x^2$. Then, $p(x) = \tilde{p}(y)$, and, by the fundamental theorem of algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(y) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{2k} y^k \\ &= \alpha_{2n} y^n (y - \lambda_1^2) \cdots (y - \lambda_m^2) (y + \lambda_{m+1}^2) \\ &\quad \cdots (y + \lambda_m^2) (y^2 + \mu_1 y + \nu_1^2) \cdots (y^2 + \mu_l y + \nu_l^2) \end{aligned}$$

for some integers σ, m, \bar{m}, l and real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\bar{m}}, v_1, \dots, v_l, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_l$ such that $\lambda_k > 0$ and $v_k > |\mu_k|/2$ for every k in the appropriate set of indices. The terms of the form $y^2 + \mu_k y + v_k^2$ correspond to the pairs of complex roots of the polynomial. This means that the discriminant $\Delta = \mu_k^2 - 4v_k < 0$, that is, $v_k > |\mu_k|/2$.

Hence,

$$p(x) = \alpha_{2n} x^{2\sigma} (x^2 - \lambda_1^2) \cdots (x^2 - \lambda_{\bar{m}}^2) (x^2 + \lambda_{\bar{m}+1}^2) \cdots (x^2 + \lambda_{\bar{m}}^2) (x^4 + \mu_1 x^2 + v_1^2) \cdots (x^4 + \mu_l x^2 + v_l^2).$$

Now we have

$$(x^2 - \lambda_k^2) = (x + \lambda_k)(x - \lambda_k), \quad (x^2 + \lambda_k^2) = (x + \lambda_k i)(x - \lambda_k i), \quad \text{and} \\ (x^4 + \mu_k x^2 + v_k^2) = (x^2 - x\sqrt{2v_k - \mu_k} + v_k)(x^2 + x\sqrt{2v_k - \mu_k} + v_k)$$

for any k in the appropriate set of indices. Define

$$q(x) = x^\sigma (x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_m)(x - \lambda_{m+1}i) \cdots (x - \lambda_{\bar{m}}i) (x^2 - x\sqrt{2v_1 - \mu_1} + v_1) \cdots (x^2 - x\sqrt{2v_l - \mu_l} + v_l)$$

and

$$q_-(x) = x^\sigma (x + \lambda_1) \cdots (x + \lambda_m)(x + \lambda_{m+1}i) \cdots (x + \lambda_{\bar{m}}i) (x^2 + x\sqrt{2v_1 - \mu_1} + v_1) \cdots (x^2 + x\sqrt{2v_l - \mu_l} + v_l).$$

We have that $p = \alpha_{2n} q q_-$.

Observe that if λ is a root of p , then λ^2 is a root of \tilde{p} . Hence, if \tilde{p} has no negative roots, then p has no roots of the form $\lambda = ai$ with $a \neq 0$. Thus,

$$p(x) = \alpha_{2n} x^{2\sigma} (x^2 - \lambda_1^2) \cdots (x^2 - \lambda_{\bar{m}}^2) (x^4 + \mu_1 x^2 + v_1^2) \cdots (x^4 + \mu_l x^2 + v_l^2), \\ q(x) = x^\sigma (x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_{\bar{m}}) (x^2 - x\sqrt{2v_1 - \mu_1} + v_1) \cdots (x^2 - x\sqrt{2v_l - \mu_l} + v_l), \\ q_-(x) = x^\sigma (x + \lambda_1) \cdots (x + \lambda_{\bar{m}}) (x^2 + x\sqrt{2v_1 - \mu_1} + v_1) \cdots (x^2 + x\sqrt{2v_l - \mu_l} + v_l),$$

that is, q is a real polynomial. □

Remark 3.2 Descartes' rule of signs establishes that the number of positive roots (with multiple roots counted separately) of a real polynomial on one variable either is equal to the number of sign differences between consecutive nonzero coefficients or is less than it by an even number, provided that the terms of the polynomial are ordered by descending variable exponent. This implies that for a polynomial $p(x)$ to have no negative roots, it suffices that all coefficients of $p(-x)$ are positive, that is, $p(x)$ has positive even coefficients and negative odd coefficients.

There exist algorithmic ways of determining the exact number of positive (or real) roots of a polynomial. For more information on this issue, see, for instance, [20–22].

The following lemma establishes a relation between the coefficients of q and q_- .

Lemma 3.3 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k$ be a complex polynomial. Then*

$$q_-(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{k+n} \alpha_k x^k.$$

Proof We proceed by induction. For $n = 1$, $q(x) = \alpha(x - \lambda_1)$. Clearly, q has the root λ_1 , and $q_-(x) = \alpha(x + \lambda_1) = (-1)^{1+1} \alpha x + (-1)^1 \alpha \lambda_1$ has the root $-\lambda_1$.

Assume that the result is true for some $n \geq 1$. Then, for $n + 1$, q is of the form $q(x) = (x - \lambda_{n+1})r(x)$, where $r(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k$ is a polynomial of order n , that is,

$$q(x) = (x - \lambda_{n+1}) \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k = x^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n [\alpha_{k-1} - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_k] x^k - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_0.$$

Now, $q_-(x) = (x + \lambda_{n+1})r_-(x)$. Since the formula is valid for n ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_-(x) &= (x + \lambda_{n+1})r_-(x) = (x + \lambda_{n+1}) \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{k+n} \alpha_k x^k \\ &= x^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+n+1} [\alpha_{k-1} - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_k] x^k - (-1)^{n+1} \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_0. \end{aligned}$$

So the formula is valid for $n + 1$ as well. \square

Remark 3.4 The result can be directly proven by considering the last statement in Remark 3.2. If we take a polynomial $p(x) = a(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_n)$, then the polynomial $p(-x)$ has exactly opposite roots. In fact, $p(-x) = a(-x - \lambda_1) \cdots (-x - \lambda_n) = (-1)^n a(x + \lambda_1) \cdots (x + \lambda_n)$. It is easy to check that the coefficients of $p(-x)$ are precisely as described in Lemma 3.3 save for the factor $(-1)^n$.

This last lemma allows the computation of the polynomials q and q_- related to the polynomial RL on the variable D using the formula given in Remark 2.2. We will assume that RL is of order $2n$, that is, $a_n^2 - b_n^2 \neq 0$. Otherwise, the problem of computing q and q_- would be the same, but these polynomials would be of lower order. Also, assume that RL , considered as a polynomial on D^2 , has no negative roots in order for q to be a real polynomial. If $L = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k \varphi^* + b_k) D^k$ and $q(D) = D^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k D^k$, then

$$RL = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k D^k = (-1)^n (a_n^2 - b_n^2) q(D) q_-(D).$$

This relation establishes the following system of quadratic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{2k} &= 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l (a_l a_{2k-l} - b_l b_{2k-l}) + (-1)^k (a_k^2 - b_k^2) \\ &= (a_n^2 - b_n^2) \left[2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l (\alpha_l \alpha_{2k-l}) + (-1)^k \alpha_k^2 \right], \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_k, b_k, \alpha_k = 0$ if $k \notin \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\alpha_n = 1$. These are n equations with n unknowns $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$. We present here the case of $n = 2$ to illustrate the solution of these equations.

Example 3.5 For $n = 2$, we have that

$$RL = (a_2^2 - b_2^2)D^4 + (-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2)D^2 + a_0^2 - b_0^2,$$

$$(a_2^2 - b_2^2)q(D)q_-(D) = (a_2^2 - b_2^2)D^4 + (2\alpha_0 - \alpha_1^2)(a_2^2 - b_2^2)D^2 + \alpha_0^2(a_2^2 - b_2^2),$$

and the system of equations is

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^2 - b_0^2 &= (a_2^2 - b_2^2)\alpha_0^2, \\ -a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2 &= (a_2^2 - b_2^2)(2\alpha_0 - \alpha_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Before computing the solutions, let us state explicitly the limitations that RL , considered as an order 2 polynomial on D^2 , that is, $RL(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$, has no negative roots implies. There are two options:

- (I) There are two complex roots, that is, $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac < 0$. This is equivalent to $ac > 0 \wedge |b| < 2\sqrt{ac}$ or, expressed in terms of the coefficients of RL ,

$$(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2| < 2\sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)}.$$

- (II) There are two nonnegative roots, that is, $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac \geq 0$, and

$$(-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac})/(2a) \leq 0.$$

This is equivalent to $(a, c \geq 0 \wedge -b \geq 2\sqrt{ac}) \vee (a, c \leq 0 \wedge b \geq 2\sqrt{ac})$ or, expressed in terms of the coefficients of RL ,

$$\left[(b_0^2 - a_0^2), (b_2^2 - a_2^2) \geq 0 \wedge -(-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2) \geq 2\sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} \right]$$

or

$$\left[(b_0^2 - a_0^2), (b_2^2 - a_2^2) \leq 0 \wedge -(-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2) \geq 2\sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} \right].$$

Now, with these conditions, the solutions of the system of equations (3.1) are as follows.

Case (I). We have two solutions:

$$\alpha_0 = \sqrt{\frac{b_0^2 - a_0^2}{b_2^2 - a_2^2}},$$

$$\alpha_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2 \operatorname{sign}(a_2^2 - b_2^2) \sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} - (-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2)}{a_2^2 - b_2^2}}.$$

Case (II). We have four solutions depending on whether we choose $\xi = 1$ or $\xi = -1$:

$$\alpha_0 = \xi \sqrt{\frac{b_0^2 - a_0^2}{b_2^2 - a_2^2}},$$

$$\alpha_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2\xi \operatorname{sign}(a_2^2 - b_2^2) \sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} - (-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2)}{a_2^2 - b_2^2}}.$$

These solutions provide well-defined real numbers by conditions (I) and (II).

4 Decomposing the boundary conditions

Now we consider the cases where the problem can be decomposed into two equations. We will try to identify those circumstances when problem (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) can be expressed as an equivalent factored problem of the form

$$L_1 u = y, \quad V_j u = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.1)$$

$$L_2 y = Rh, \quad \tilde{V}_j y = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.2)$$

where $S = L_2 L_1$. If that where the case, then conditions (2.6)-(2.7) would be equivalent to

$$V_j u = 0, \quad \tilde{V}_j L_1 u = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.3)$$

In this case, the Green's function of problem (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) can be expressed as

$$G(t, s) = \int_{-T}^T G_1(t, r) G_2(r, s) dr,$$

where G_1 is the Green's function associated with problem (4.1), and G_2 is the one associated with problem (4.2), assuming that both Green's functions exist.

In order to guarantee that (2.6)-(2.7) and (4.3) are equivalent, let us establish the following definitions. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &:= (\alpha_{kj})_{k=1, \dots, n}^{j=0, \dots, n-1}, & X_n &:= (u(T), u'(T), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(T)), \\ \Theta_1 &:= (\beta_{kj})_{k=1, \dots, n}^{j=0, \dots, n-1}, & \bar{X}_n &:= (u(-T), u'(-T), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(-T)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the boundary conditions (2.6) can be expressed as $\Gamma_1 \bar{X}_n + \Theta_1 X_n = 0$. In the same way, (2.7) can be written as $(\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3) \bar{X}_{2n} + (\Theta_2 \Theta_3) X_{2n} = 0$ for some matrices $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Theta_2, \Theta_3 \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$. So, globally, the conditions on equation (2.5) can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ \Gamma_2 & \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}_{2n} + \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & 0 \\ \Theta_2 & \Theta_3 \end{pmatrix} X_{2n} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Now, assume that L_1 and \tilde{V}_j are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \sum_{l=0}^n c_l D^l, \\ \tilde{V}_j u &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\gamma_{jk} u^{(k)}(-T) + \delta_{jk} u^{(k)}(T)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\gamma_{jk}(-T)^* + \delta_{jk} T^*] D^k u, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_l, \gamma_{jk}, \delta_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$, $l, j, k = 1, \dots, n$, where a^* denotes the pullback by the constant a . Define now $\Phi := (\gamma_{jk})_{j,k}$, $\Psi := (\delta_{jk})_{j,k} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi = (d_{jk})_{j=0, \dots, n-1}^{k=0, \dots, 2n-1} &:= \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_0 & \cdots & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\Xi_1 \quad \Xi_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 2n}(\mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi_1, \Xi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$, Ξ_2 is invertible (because $c_n \neq 0$), and Ξ_1 is invertible if and only if $c_0 \neq 0$.

Now we are ready to start the calculations. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{V}_j L_1 u)_j &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\gamma_{jk}(-T)^* + \delta_{jk} T^*] D^k \sum_{l=0}^n c_l D^l u \right)_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^n [\gamma_{jk} c_l (-T)^* + \delta_{jk} c_l T^*] D^{k+l} u \right)_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=k}^{k+n} [\gamma_{jk} c_{m-k} (-T)^* + \delta_{jk} c_{m-k} T^*] D^m u \right)_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} [\gamma_{jk} d_{km} u^{(m)}(-T) + \delta_{jk} d_{km} u^{(m)}(T)] \right)_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{jk} d_{km} \right)_{j,m} \bar{X}_{2n} + \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \delta_{jk} d_{km} \right)_{j,m} X_{2n} = \Phi \Xi \bar{X}_{2n} + \Psi \Xi X_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can write (4.3) in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi} & 0 \\ \Phi \Xi_1 & \Phi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}_{2n} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi} & 0 \\ \Psi \Xi_1 & \Psi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} X_{2n} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Clearly, it is convenient to take $\tilde{\Phi} = \Gamma_1$ and $\tilde{\Psi} = \Theta_1$, that is, $V_j = B_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 4.1 *If Γ_1 and Γ_3 are invertible and $\Theta_2 = \Gamma_2 \Gamma_1^{-1} \Theta_1 + \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 - \Gamma_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 \Gamma_1^{-1} \Theta_1$, then, taking*

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \Gamma_1, \quad \tilde{\Psi} = \Theta_1, \quad \Phi = \text{Id}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi = \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1},$$

condition (4.4) is equivalent to condition (4.5), and, therefore, problems (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) and (4.1)-(4.2) are equivalent.

Proof Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ (\Xi_1 - \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \Gamma_2) \Gamma_1^{-1} & \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix A is invertible, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi} & 0 \\ \Phi \Xi_1 & \Phi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ \Gamma_2 & \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi} & 0 \\ \Psi \Xi_1 & \Psi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & 0 \\ \Theta_2 & \Theta_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, conditions (4.4) and (4.5) are equivalent. \square

Analogously, we have a result when Θ_1 and Θ_3 are invertible.

Lemma 4.2 *If Θ_1 and Θ_3 are invertible and $\Gamma_2 = \Theta_2 \Theta_1^{-1} \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 - \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 \Theta_1^{-1} \Gamma_1$, then, taking*

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \Theta_1, \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \Gamma_1, \quad \Psi = \text{Id}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = \Xi_2 \Theta_3^{-1} \Gamma_3 \Xi_2^{-1},$$

condition (4.4) is equivalent to condition (4.5), and, therefore, problems (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) and (4.1)-(4.2) are equivalent.

The following example illustrates this discussion explicitly.

Example 4.3 Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) + u(-t) + u(t) &= h(t), \quad t \in I, \\ u(-1) - u''(1) &= 0, \quad u'(-1) = u'(1), \quad u''(-1) - u(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $h(t) = \sin t$. Then, the operator we are studying is $L = D^3 + \varphi^* + 1$. If we take $R := D^3 + \varphi^* - 1$, then we have that $RL = D^6$, which admits a simple decomposition in $\mathbb{R}[D]$ as $RL = (D^3)(D^3) = L_2 L_1$.

The boundary conditions are

$$[(-1)^* - 1^* D^2]u = 0, \quad [(-1)^* D - 1^* D]u = 0, \quad [(-1)^* D^2 - 1^*]u = 0.$$

Taking this into account, we add the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [(-1)^* - 1^* D^2]Ru = u'''(-1) - u^{(5)}(1), \\ 0 &= [(-1)^* D - 1^* D]Ru = u^{(4)}(-1) - u^{(4)}(1), \\ 0 &= [(-1)^* D^2 - 1^*]Ru = u^{(5)}(-1) - u'''(1). \end{aligned}$$

Then our new *reduced* problem, writing the boundary conditions in matrix form, is

$$u^{(6)}(t) = f(t), \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(-1) \\ u'(-1) \\ u''(-1) \\ u'''(-1) \\ u^{(4)}(-1) \\ u^{(5)}(-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.7)$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ u'(1) \\ u''(1) \\ u'''(1) \\ u^{(4)}(1) \\ u^{(5)}(1) \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where $f(t) = Rh(t) = h'''(t) + h(-t) - h(t) = -3 \sin t$.

Now, we can check that we are working under the conditions of Lemma 4.1. We have that $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_3 = \text{Id}$, $\Gamma_2 = \Theta_2 = 0$, and

$$\Theta_1 = \Theta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Xi = (\Xi_1 \Xi_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, it is straightforward to check that

$$\Gamma_2 \Gamma_1^{-1} \Theta_1 + \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 - \Gamma_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 \Gamma_1^{-1} \Theta_1 = \Theta_2 = 0,$$

and therefore the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1 are satisfied. The conditions \tilde{V}_j are given by the matrices $\Phi = \text{Id}$ and $\Psi = \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1} = \Theta_3$. Hence, we know that this problem is equivalent to the factored system

$$u'''(t) = v(t), \quad u(-1) - u''(1) = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$u'(-1) = u'(1), \quad u''(-1) - u(1) = 0,$$

$$v'''(t) = -3 \sin t, \quad v(-1) - v''(1) = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$v'(-1) = v'(1), \quad v''(-1) - v(1) = 0.$$

Thus, it is clear that

$$u(t) = \int_{-1}^1 G_1(t, s) v(s) \, ds, \quad v(t) = \int_{-1}^1 G_2(t, s) f(s) \, ds,$$

where $G_1 = G_2$ are, respectively, the Green's functions of (4.8) and (4.9). The Green's functions of problems involving linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients and two-point boundary conditions can be computed with the *Mathematica* notebooks [23] or [17]. Explicitly,

$$G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4}(s-t)(s(t-1)+t-3), & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ -\frac{1}{4}(s-t)((s-1)t+s-3), & -1 < t < s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the Green's function G for problem (4.7) is given by

$$G(t, s) = \int_{-1}^1 G_1(t, r) G_2(r, s) dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{480} \begin{cases} 2s^5(t+1) - 5s^4(t(t+2)+3) \\ + 20s^3t(t+3) - 5s^2(t^2(t+2)^2-5) \\ + 2st(t^2(t(t+5)+30)-166) - 2t^5 \\ - 15t^4 + 25t^2 - 102, & -1 < t < s \leq 1, \\ -2s^5 - 15s^4 - 5(s^2(s+2)^2-5)t^2 \\ + 2(s^2(s(s+5)+30)-166)st \\ + 25s^2 + 2(s+1)t^5 - 5(s(s+2)+3)t^4 \\ + 20(s+3)st^3 - 102, & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, using Theorem 2.5, the Green's function for problem (4.6) is

$$\bar{G}(t, s) = R_- G(t, s) = \frac{\partial^3 G}{\partial t^3}(t, s) + G(-t, s) + G(t, s)$$

$$= \frac{1}{120} \begin{cases} -(s-1)t^5 + 10(s-3)st^3 + 30(s-1)t^2 - 30(s-3)s \\ - (s^5 - 5s^4 + 30s^3 + 30s^2 - 226s + 90)t, & -1 \leq |t| \leq s \leq 1, \\ s^5(-(t-1)) + 10s^3(t-3)t - 30s^2(t-1) + 30(t-3)t \\ + s(-t^5 + 5t^4 - 30t^3 + 30t^2 + 106t + 90), & -1 \leq |s| < t \leq 1, \\ s^5(-(t+1)) - 10s^3t(t+3) - 30s^2(t+1) - 30t(t+3) \\ - s(t^5 + 5t^4 + 30t^3 - 30t^2 - 226t - 90), & -1 \leq |s| < -t \leq 1, \\ -(s+1)t^5 - 10s(s+3)t^3 + 30(s+1)t^2 + 30s(s+3) \\ - (s^5 + 5s^4 + 30s^3 + 30s^2 - 106s + 90)t, & -1 \leq |t| \leq -s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the solution of problem (4.6) is given by

$$u(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \bar{G}(t, s) \sin(s) ds$$

$$= -\frac{1}{60}(-30 - 91t - 30t^2 + 10t^3 + t^5) \sin(1)$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3}(t^3 - 7t - 3) \cos(1) + 2 \sin(t) + \cos(t).$$

Computationally, this procedure poses a big advantage: it is always easier to obtain the Green's function for two n th-order problems than for one $(2n)$ th-order problem. Furthermore, if the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied and we are able to obtain a factorization of the aforementioned kind using q and q_- in the place of L_1 and L_2 , then we have an extra advantage: the differential equation given by q_- is the adjoint equation of that given by q multiplied by the factor $(-1)^n$. This fact, together with the following result (which can be found, although not stated as in this work, in [18]), illustrates that in this case it may be possible to solve problem (2.4) just computing the Green's function of one n th-order problem.

Theorem 4.4 Consider an interval $J = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, functions $\sigma, a_i \in L^1(J)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, real numbers $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, h_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, n-1$, a vector subspace $D(L_n) \subset W^{n,1}(J)$, the operator

$$L_n u(t) = a_0 u^{(n)}(t) + a_1(t) u^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_{n-1}(t) u'(t) + a_n(t) u(t), \quad t \in J, u \in D(L_n),$$

with $a_0 = 1$, and the problem

$$L_n u(t) = \sigma(t), \quad t \in J, \quad U_i(u) = h_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$U_i(u) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{ij} u^{(j)}(a) + \beta_{ij} u^{(j)}(b)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, the associated adjoint problem is

$$L_n^\dagger v(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j a_{n-j}(t) u^{(j)}(t), \quad t \in J, v \in D(L_n^\dagger), \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$D(L_n^\dagger) = \left\{ v \in W^{n,2}(J) : (b^* - a^*) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^{(j-i-1)} (a_{n-j} v)^{j-i-1} u^{(i)} \right) = 0, u \in D(L_n) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, if $G(t, s)$ is the Green's function of problem (4.10), then that associated with problem (4.11) is $G(s, t)$.

Hence, if we can decompose problem (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) in two adjoint problems of the form (4.1)-(4.2), then its Green's function is

$$G(t, s) = \int_{-T}^T G_1(t, r) G_2(r, s) dr = \int_{-T}^T G_1(t, r) G_1(s, r) dr,$$

where G_1 is the Green's function of (4.1), and $G_2(t, s) = G_1(s, t)$ is that of (4.2). We note, though, that unless the operator q_- is the adjoint equation times $(-1)^n$, the boundary conditions may not be the adjoint ones.

Example 4.5 Consider the problem

$$u'(-t) + u(t) + \sqrt{2}u(-t) = f(t) := e^t, \quad t \in [-1, 1], \quad u(-1) = u(1). \quad (4.12)$$

Taking $R = \varphi^* D + \sqrt{2}\varphi^* - \text{Id}$ and composing problem (4.12) with this operator, we obtain the reduced problem

$$u''(t) - u(t) = Rf(t), \quad t \in [-1, 1], \quad u(-1) = u(1), \quad u'(-1) = u'(1). \quad (4.13)$$

Problem (4.13) is equivalent to the factored system

$$u'(t) + u(t) = v(t), \quad u(-1) = u(1), \quad (4.14)$$

$$-v'(t) + v(t) = -Rf(t), \quad v(-1) = v(1) \quad (4.15)$$

for $t \in [-1, 1]$. Observe that problem (4.15) is the adjoint problem of (4.14). Since the Green's function of problem (4.14) is given by

$$G_1(t, s) := \begin{cases} \frac{e^{s-t+2}}{e^2-1}, & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{e^{s-t}}{e^2-1}, & -1 < t < s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and, therefore, $G_1(s, t)$ is the Green's function of problem (4.15), the Green's function of problem (4.13) is

$$G(t, s) = - \int_{-1}^1 G_1(t, r) G_1(s, r) dr = \begin{cases} -\frac{e^{s-t+2} + e^{t-s}}{2e^2-2}, & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ -\frac{e^{s-t} + e^{-s+t+2}}{2e^2-2}, & -1 < t < s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the Green's function of problem (4.12) is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G}(t, s) = R_- G(t, s) &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(-t, s) + \sqrt{2}G(-t, s) - G(t, s) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-s-t}[(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t+1)}) + e^{2s+2} + e^{2t} - \sqrt{2}-1]}{2(e^2-1)}, & |t| \leq -s, \\ \frac{e^{-s-t}[(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t)}) + e^{2s+2} + e^{2t} - (1+\sqrt{2})e^2]}{2(e^2-1)}, & |s| < t, \\ \frac{e^{-s-t}[(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t+1)}) + e^{2s} + e^{2t+2} - \sqrt{2}-1]}{2(e^2-1)}, & |s| < -t, \\ \frac{e^{-s-t}[(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t)}) + e^{2s} + e^{2t+2} - (1+\sqrt{2})e^2]}{2(e^2-1)}, & |t| \leq s. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the solution of problem (4.12) is

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &:= - \frac{e^{-t}(-2(1+\sqrt{2})t + e^2(2(1+\sqrt{2})t + 3\sqrt{2}) + e^{2t}(-2t + e^2(2t + \sqrt{2}-4) - \sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{2} + 4)}{4(e^2-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The author wants to acknowledge his gratitude to the anonymous referee for helping improve the manuscript, especially in the proof of Lemma 3.1. This work was partially supported by Consellería de Cultura, Educación e Ordenación Universitaria, Xunta de Galicia, Spain, project EM2014/032 and supported by FPU scholarship, Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte, Spain.

Received: 6 May 2016 Accepted: 31 August 2016 Published online: 13 September 2016

References

1. Post, S, Vinet, L, Zhedanov, A: Supersymmetric quantum mechanics with reflections. *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.* **44**(43), 435301 (2011)
2. Roychoudhury, R, Roy, B, Dube, PP: Non-Hermitian oscillator and R-deformed Heisenberg algebra. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**(1), 012104 (2013)
3. Gamboa, J, Plyushchay, M, Zanelli, J: Three aspects of bosonized supersymmetry and linear differential field equation with reflection. *Nucl. Phys. B* **543**(1), 447-465 (1999)

4. Cabada, A, Infante, G, Tojo, FAF: Nontrivial solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with reflections. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2013**, 86 (2013)
5. Piao, D, Sun, J: Besicovitch almost periodic solutions for a class of second order differential equations involving reflection of the argument. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2014**, 41 (2014)
6. Piao, D, Xin, N: Bounded and almost periodic solutions for second order differential equation involving reflection of the argument (2013). arXiv:1302.0616
7. Kritskov, L, Sarsenbi, A: Spectral properties of a nonlocal problem for a second-order differential equation with an involution. *Differ. Equ.* **51**(8), 984-990 (2015)
8. Kritskov, LV, Sarsenbi, AM: Basicity in L_p of root functions for differential equations with involution. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 278 (2015)
9. Ashyralyev, A, Sarsenbi, AM: Well-posedness of an elliptic equation with involution. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 284 (2015)
10. Sarsenbi, A: The Green's function of the second order differential operator with an involution and its application. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1676**, 020010 (2015)
11. Sarsenbi, AA: Green's function of the second-order differential operator with involution from boundary conditions of Neumann. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1676**, 020074 (2015)
12. Cabada, A, Tojo, FAF: Comparison results for first order linear operators with reflection and periodic boundary value conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **78**, 32-46 (2013)
13. Cabada, A, Tojo, FAF: Solutions of the first order linear equation with reflection and general linear conditions. *Glob. J. Math. Sci.* **2**(1), 1-8 (2013)
14. Cabada, A, Tojo, FAF: Existence results for a linear equation with reflection, non-constant coefficient and periodic boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **412**(1), 529-546 (2014)
15. Cabada, A, Tojo, FAF: Solutions and Green's function of the first order linear equation with reflection and initial conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, 99 (2014)
16. Cabada, A, Tojo, FAF: Green's functions for reducible functional differential equations. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 1-22 (2016)
17. Tojo, FAF, Cabada, A, Cid, JA, Márquez-Villamarín, B: Green's functions with reflection. <http://library.wolfram.com/infocenter/MathSource/9087> (2014)
18. Cabada, A: Green's functions in the theory of ordinary differential equations. Springer, Berlin (2014)
19. Cabada, A, Cid, JA: On the sign of the Green's function associated to Hill's equation with an indefinite potential. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **205**(1), 303-308 (2008)
20. Yang, L, Xia, B: Explicit criterion to determine the number of positive roots of a polynomial. *MM Res. Prepr.* **15**, 134-145 (1997)
21. Yang, L, Hou, XR, Zeng, ZB: A complete discrimination system for polynomials. *Sci. China Ser. E* **39**(6), 628-646 (1996)
22. Liang, S, Zhang, J: A complete discrimination system for polynomials with complex coefficients and its automatic generation. *Sci. China Ser. E* **42**(2), 113-128 (1999)
23. Cabada, A, Cid, JA, Márquez-Villamarín, B: Green's functions computation. <http://library.wolfram.com/infocenter/MathSource/8825> (2014)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com